Inflammatory muscle diseases: a critical review on pathogenesis and therapies
Marinos C Dalakas

Based on unique clinicopathological criteria, the most common immune inflammatory muscle disorders include Dermatomyositis (DM), Polymyositis (PM), Necrotizing Myositis (NM), and sporadic Inclusion Body Myositis (sIBM). DM is an undeniably a complement-mediated microangiopathy with destruction of capillaries, hypoperfusion, and inflammatory cell stress on the perifascicular regions. Necrotizing Myopathy is a poorly studied subacute myopathy triggered by toxic, viral, or autoimmune factors with macrophages as the final effector cells. In PM and IBM cytotoxic CD8-positive T-cells clonally expand in situ and invade MHC-I-expressing muscle fibers. In sIBM, in addition to autoimmune inflammation, there are degenerative features characterized by vacuolization and accumulation of stressor and amyloid-related molecules. Advances in the immunobiology of these disorders are discussed including the interaction between pro-inflammatory and β-amyloid or stressor proteins. A critical review regarding tissue biomarkers and strategies for more effective treatments are presented.
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Introduction
The most common inflammatory myopathies with distinct clinicopathologic features and clinical course include Dermatomyositis, Polymyositis, Inclusion Body Myositis, and Necrotizing Myositis (NM) [1–4,5*]. Dermatomyositis (DM) presents with proximal muscle weakness accompanied or preceded by typical skin changes. Polymyositis (PM) is rare as a single entity, lacks a unique clinical phenotype and presents subacutely in people above the age of 18 with proximal muscle weakness and CK elevation. PM is frequently overdiagnosed; the most common disorders misdiagnosed as PM are: IBM, inflammatory dystrophies, and NM. Sporadic inclusion body myositis (sIBM) has a distinct clinical phenotype characterized by weakness and atrophy of certain distal and proximal muscles. Necrotizing Myopathy (NM) has a multifactorial etiology; it may have an acute or subacute onset, can be severe, may have a seasonal variation or cancer association, and may be triggered by statins; it is often misdiagnosed as PM, toxic myopathy, or a metabolic myopathy owing to the acute onset of hyperCKemia.

This review outlines the fundamentally different pathology between these subsets as evolved the past few years, provides a critical analysis of the diagnostic markers, and summarizes the most significant developments on their pathogenesis as relate to therapeutic strategies.

Immunopathology of DM: a critical reappraisal
An early event in the disease is the damage to the endothelial cells of endomysial capillaries mediated by complement activation and formation of membranolytic attack complexes (MAC), which causes lysis of the endothelial cells, destruction of capillaries, and muscle ischemia [1,2,6–10]. As a result, the number of capillaries is reduced throughout the muscle, while the lumen of the remaining ones is dilated to compensate for the ischemic process. The pathology is more pronounced in the outer layers of the fascicles probably due to hypoperfusion resulting in ‘perifascicular atrophy’. The complement activation triggers pro-inflammatory cytokines and cell recruitment, most notably interferon-γ, CD4 + T-cells, B-cells, macrophages, and interferon-α/β [1,2,4,6–10,11,12]. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells [11] or formation of germinal-like centers can be seen, as recently demonstrated [13*]. Gene array studies have demonstrated upregulation of α/β-interferon and γ-interferon-inducible genes [11,12]. Production of IL-6 and the type-I interferon signature genes were recently proposed as biomarkers for disease activity in childhood DM [14*]. Many of the perifascicular fibers are regenerating/regenerating and stain strongly with alkaline phosphatase, desmin, and NCAM; they also stain with antibodies against a variety of immune or stressor molecules [10], including TGF-β [15], MHC-I, αB-crystallin, cathepsins, amyloid precursor protein, STAT-1 triggered by interferon-γ [16], and myxovirus resistance MxA protein triggered by α/β-interferon [11]. Recently, the autoantibody against histone remodeler Mi-2, which is present in some DM patients, was strongly immunolocalized in the perifascicular fibers and in the regenerating muscle [17*], confirming that the perifascicularly atrophic fibers are...
indeed regenerating and that Mi-2 may play a role in myofiber stability during the regenerating process.

This logical series of events centered around the undisputed complement-mediated microangiopathic process, is now challenged by Greenberg who, based on the gene array studies and the immunolocalization of MxA protein in the perifascicular regions, has theorized that the myofibers may be primarily injured by chronic overproduction of α/β-interferon-inducible proteins [18]. This unverified theory is improbable because: a) the fibers in the perifascicular regions do not only stain for MxA but also for all markers of regeneration, as recently confirmed with Mi-2, and for a large number of immune or stressor proteins, as mentioned above; b) does not explain the reduced number of capillaries throughout the fascicle and their relative paucity in the perifascicular regions; c) does not explain the well documented complement activation and MAC deposition on capillaries early in the disease preceding perifascicular atrophy [2,6–10].

Most importantly, and in contrast to MxA theory, the MAC-mediated changes are of direct clinicopathologic relevance because after intercepting complement with IVIg, the patients’ strength dramatically improves and the immunopathology resolves [9,19]; d) α/β-interferon-inducible genes lack specificity or uniqueness for DM because they are also overexpressed in the patients’ blood not only in DM but also in PM [20]; further α/β-interferon upregulation is ubiquitous in several connective tissue diseases like SLE and Sjögren’s syndrome, without an up-to-now therapeutic relevance; and e) in contrast to γ-interferon, there are no functional studies to support toxicity of α/β-interferon to human muscle fibers.

A biologically relevant protein, however discovered by gene arrays, appears to be the KAL-1 adhesion molecule because it is significantly downregulated in patients who improved after therapy [21]. The KAL-1 is upregulated in vitro by TGF-β and may have a role in inducing fibrosis [15,21].

**Immunobiology of PM and IBM: autoimmunity and viruses**

Although IBM is a complex disorder because immune mechanisms co-exist with degeneration as described later, it shares with PM the T-cell-mediated autoimmune process where CD8-positive cytotoxic T-cells attack non-necrotic muscle fibers that express MHC-I antigen [1–4,5*,10]. The T-cells clonally expand in situ and persist over time [22,23], as confirmed with laser capture microdissection [24]. Spectratyping has documented the rearrangement of the T-cell receptors as the ‘individual fingerprint’ of an autoinvasive T-cell, confirming that in PM and IBM distinct clones expand in situ after ‘seeing’ local antigens [25]. The T-cell invasion is facilitated by a local inflammatory environment driven by a range of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines that attract immune cells to the muscle.

One of the major observations in the immunobiology of PM and IBM is the ubiquitous overexpression of MHC-I on the surface of muscle fibers [26,27]; this is in contrast to inflammatory dystrophies, the non-immune Necrotizing Myopathies, and other myopathic conditions where MHC-I is absent or limited to the areas of cell infiltrates [10,26,27]. This observation remains fundamental and carries the following diagnostic and immunopathogenic implications. First, the presence of MHC-I/CD8 complex denotes an immune-myositis and should be searched for to secure the diagnosis of PM and IBM. Second, the MHC overexpression alone, can trigger endoplasmic reticulum stress, affect gene expression of structural muscle and stressor proteins, and even trigger inflammation and muscle weakness, at least in the MHC-transgenic mice, an observation of profound significance, as recently confirmed [28**].

Third, the CD8+ T-cells are primed to receive specific antigenic peptides presented by the MHC-I class antigen and form immunological synapses with the muscle fibers they invade as supported by the upregulation of specific synaptic molecules [29]. In PM and IBM there are also infiltrates of B cells, myeloid dendritic cells and clonally expanded plasma cells, sometimes even forming germinal-like centers [30]. Such lymphoid collections lack specificity for IBM because they are also seen in DM and the targeted tissues of many autoimmune diseases, such as Rheumatoid arthritis, Sjögren syndrome and Multiple Sclerosis; their presence in IBM however strengthens the ongoing immune dysregulation in the muscle microenvironment.

An observation made several years ago [31], but reconfirmed lately because it carries important implications, is that the muscle fibers are not only participating in T-cell recruitment, antigen presentation and co-stimulation [29], but they also contribute to the stimulation of T-cells promoting via cytokines an auto-amplificatory mechanism. Upon cytokine stimulation, muscle fibers in vitro can secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines, which facilitate the recruitment of activated T-cells to the muscle and contribute to the self-sustaining nature of endomyal inflammation [32**,33]. The muscle fibers therefore are not only the target of the attack but they also generate a pro-inflammatory environment by cytokine secretion. This concept may have implications in our efforts to stop the inflammatory process, as depicted in Figure 1.

It is unclear whether endogenous or exogenous antigens drive the T-cell response. The association of PM and IBM with retroviruses (HIV and HTLV-I in humans and SIV in primates) is however fundamental in understanding potential triggers of muscle autoimmunity [33]. The original observation made several years ago has been now confirmed throughout the world in several dozen patients.
In HIV-positive PM and IBM, the autoinvasive CD8+ T-cells are clonally expanded and their T-cell receptors contain amino acid residues for specific HLA/viral peptides [34]. The virus is not present within the muscle fiber but only in occasional macrophages around muscle fibers. It appears that in these patients who now live longer and harbor the retrovirus for several years, the chronic persistent viral infection changes the local muscle microenvironment and triggers viral-specific T-cells that reach the muscle fibers. Whether these cells recognize muscle antigens via molecular mimicry remains unclear.

Necrotizing Myositis (NM): a poorly studied entity

These patients present with high CK, moderate to severe muscle weakness of acute or subacute onset and with histological features of muscle fiber necrosis mediated by macrophages as the main effector cell. There are no T-cell infiltrates or MHC-I expression as seen in PM and IBM; some patients however have antibodies against signal recognition particles (SRP) [35]. The disease is multifactorial. Some patients have cancer or an active viral infection (i.e. HIV); others have been exposed to statins that can induce both, a toxic as well as an autoimmune Necrotizing Myositis that upregulates MHC-1 and may respond to immunotherapy [36*,37**]; others may have a smoldering underlying autoimmune process (we have seen a patient with hyperCKemia up to 8000 who developed lung sarcoidosis and muscle weakness 2 years later); and still others have no other disease or apparent exposure to exogenous agents. Whether NM is triggered by unidentified endogenous or exogenous myotoxic factor(s), or it is an antibody-mediated disease, as suggested by the presence of SRP antibodies, that recruit macrophages via an Antibody-Dependent Cell-Mediated Cytotoxicity (ADCC), remains unclear.

Degenerative features of IBM: reconciling the role of inflammation and degeneration

IBM is a complex disorder because in addition to immunopathology demonstrates degenerative features evidenced by the presence of rimmed vacuoles, intracellular deposition of Congo-red-positive amyloid and variable accumulation of amyloid-related molecules including APP, amyloid-beta 42, phosphorylated tau detected with antibodies to SMI-31 or the transporter protein p62/SQSTM1, presenilin-1, apolipoprotein E, γ-tubulin, clusterin, α-synuclein, gelsolin, and a number of...
oxidative or cell stress-related proteins[38,39\textsuperscript{*}]. These accumulations recently extended to a number of nuclear-related proteins, such as TDP-43 and VCP [40,41\textsuperscript{*}].

Regardless of whether the primary event is an inflammatory or a protein dysregulation process, recent data suggest a significant interrelationship between inflammation and degeneration [32,42\textsuperscript{*}]. Specifically, the inflammatory mediators IFN-γ and CXCL-9 correlate at the protein and mRNA level with the expression of APP, a key degenerative marker, and co-localize with APP/β-amyloid proteins. Further, exposure of muscle cells to pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and IFN-γ induces an overexpression of APP with subsequent accumulation of β-amyloid. Of interest, in a mouse model of sIBM inflammation induced by the LPS, enhanced the accumulation of tau and β-amyloid [43\textsuperscript{*}]. Accordingly, it has been proposed that in IBM a continuous stimulation of inflammatory factors may, after a long period, induce a higher basal expression of APP and an increased sensitivity to de novo pro-inflammatory cytokines that triggers a self-perpetuating cycle [5,32,33,42\textsuperscript{*}] (Figure 1).

Another relevant molecule is αB-crystallin, a heat-shock protein associated with cell stress and β-amyloid clearance, which had been demonstrated in healthy-appearing IBM muscle fibers almost a decade ago [44]. In a recent study, normal-appearing αB-crystallin-positive fibers were double-positive for APP and MHC-I antigen even before these fibers showed beta-amyloid deposits or other markers of vacuolar degeneration, and even before T-cell invasion [45\textsuperscript{*}]. Of relevance, exposure of human myotubes to IL-1β and interferon gamma upregulated APP and αB-crystallin and led to accumulation of β-amyloid. It appears therefore that αB-crystallin is, along with pro-inflammatory markers, an early event associated with cell stress–response that precedes accumulation of β-amyloid [45\textsuperscript{*}]. The following recent cases support the concept that intense inflammation may trigger the typical pathologic features of IBM and present evidence that early initiation of anti-inflammatory therapy may arrest progression to clinical IBM. One was a rapidly progressive patient with DM who had pathological features consistent with IBM, but sustained complete remission with immunotherapy [46\textsuperscript{*}]; the second was a patient of ours who presented with rapidly progressive proximal myopathy–clinically suspected to be PM—whose biopsy was consistent with IBM; she too, had complete remission with Prednisone and Mycophenolate. Such cases—albeit anecdotal—are not rare; they have been seen before by others and emphasize the point made above.

**Disease biomarkers**

In Dermatomyositis, the diagnosis is rarely challenged owing to the characteristic skin rash and perifascicular atrophy in the muscle biopsy. By contrast, PM and NM present with proximal muscle weakness of subacute/acute onset without a distinct clinical phenotype; in these patients when toxic, viral, dystrophic, metabolic, and familial processes are excluded, the diagnosis is relied on histology aided by immunopathology. In PM, the presence of T-cells invading non-necrotic fibers scattered in foci along with a ubiquitous expression of MHC-I secures the diagnosis. If vacuoles are seen in this setting, the diagnosis of IBM should be suspected and secured if Congo-red positive deposits and COX-negative fibers are also present [33]. If the patient has the distinct clinical phenotype of IBM and the biopsy does not show vacuoles but only inflammation characterized by MHC-I expression and CD8+ infiltrates, the diagnosis is ‘probable IBM’ or ‘clinical IBM’. Such cases comprise up to 15% of IBM patients [47\textsuperscript{*}]) and dictate close clinicopathologic correlations to avoid the misdiagnosis of PM; a repeated biopsy from another site may show vacuoles and Congo red-positive deposits. In contrast to PM and sIBM, in NM the infiltrates invading muscle fibers are not CD8+ cells but macrophages, while the MHC-I expression is not ubiquitous but mostly in the degenerating/necrotic fibers.

Another distal myopathy that may be rarely confused with sIBM, is the sporadic form of ‘myofibrillar myopathy’ [48]. The biopsy in these cases lacks markers of immune inflammation (MHC/CD8) but shows desmin deposits. Because all the molecules accumulated within the IBM muscles are also seen in the other vacuolar myopathies, especially myofibrillar myopathies and hereditary IBM, the two conditions that must be clinically distinguished from sIBM, there is no unique molecule to serve as a specific IBM biomarker. The recently reported 100% specificity of TD-43 for sIBM [49\textsuperscript{*}] is not helpful as TD-43-positivity was also found in myofibrillar myopathies and hereditary IBM; most importantly, these markers have not been tested in patients without vacuoles (probably IBM) that comprise 15% of all IBM and require a diagnostic marker because they are very often misdiagnosed with PM. Similarly, the suggestion that in IBM the pathology starts from the nucleus [49\textsuperscript{*}] lacks neurobiological support and functional studies; the mere immunostaining of nuclear products in the cytoplasm does not disprove the notion that they are probably a consequence of lysosomal degradation and muscle fiber disintegration.

**Advances in therapeutic strategies: progress in DM and PM and challenges in IBM**

Based on experience, but not controlled studies, the majority of patients with PM and DM respond to corticosteroids to some degree and for a period of time. IVIg tested in a controlled study [19] is effective in DM as a second, and at times, first line therapy. IVIg appears also
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Inflammatory muscle diseases are a group of disorders characterized by muscle weakness, pain, and fatigability. They are classified into three main categories: polymyositis (PM), dermatomyositis (DM), and inclusion-body myositis (IBM). While PM and DM are more common in younger adults, IBM is primarily a disease of older adults. IBM is characterized by muscle weakness, particularly in the lower limbs, with a gradual progression over months to years. The pathogenesis of IBM is complex and involves both inflammatory and degenerative processes.

Immunosuppressants are used as steroid-sparing agents but their efficacy remains unclear. New agents in the form of monoclonal antibodies or fusion proteins that target cytokines, adhesion molecules, T-cell transduction or transmigration molecules, and B cells or their activation factors are emerging as promising immunotherapeutic drugs relevant to the pathogenesis of myositis, as discussed [50**]. Among them, Rituximab, a B cell-depleting agent, is currently tested in a controlled study. Aggressive DM and NM cases may require combination therapy with intravenous corticosteroids and IVIg.

In contrast to PM and DM, there is currently no effective treatment for IBM. Prednisone, cyclosporine, azathioprine, methotrexate, total body irradiation, and IFN-β failed. Oxandrolone did not help. In some patients, treatment with IVIg induced transient improvement of muscle strength and swallowing, yet the overall study was negative [50**]. Alemtuzumab (Campath), a T-cell-depleting monoclonal antibody, in a small and uncontrolled study, significantly slowed down disease progression for a 6-month period [51**]. Most importantly, this proof-of-principle study showed that depletion of T-cells from the periphery caused reduction of T-cells in the muscle and suppression of some degeneration-associated molecules, based on repeated muscle biopsies. In spite of these changes however, there was no significant improvement in muscle strength highlighting the complexity of IBM.

Explaining the therapeutic failures in IBM and planning future strategies

The following reasons may explain the lack of treatment efficacy in IBM:

a) The immunopathogenesis is secondary, so that even a maximal immunosuppression would have a limited effect on the continuing degenerative process. However, as mentioned above and discussed elsewhere [57,33], the immune response in IBM is primary and specific and may even precede degeneration; b) therapy is initiated late, when the degenerative cascade has already begun, due to insidious onset and very slow disease progression. After having seen hundreds of IBM patients, we have come to recognize that the disease starts long before the patients develop clinical symptoms, as there is a critical threshold above which weakness is clinically manifested. It is striking that even patients with minimal clinical weakness already exhibit muscle atrophy and extensive pathology in certain muscle groups (histologically or with muscle imaging). The two cases reported above however, highlight the concept that early treatment in patients with histological IBM who have not yet developed the clinical IBM phenotype, may lead to complete remission; c) the production of pro-inflammatory mediators by the muscle fibers themselves may pose a problem in arresting the process because the standard immunosuppressants may not be able to suppress the factors that trigger the continuous production of cytokines by the muscle fibers themselves [5*]; d) there are no effective agents to suppress the stressor and β-amyloid-related molecules. The recently observed attenuation of IBM-like myopathology in APP-transgenic mice after immunization with amyloid beta, is of interest [52**], but this model is not similar to human IBM; and e) the correct anti-dysimmune/inflammatory agent has not yet been found as targeted immunotherapy.

Because in IBM, CD8-positive cells invade healthy, non-vacuolated fibers, whereas vacuolated and Congophilic fibers are not invaded by T-cells, we have proposed that the inflammatory and degenerative processes may coexist from the outset and progress independently [33]. Regardless of which process is the dominant one, an effective treatment may need to concurrently suppress both the degenerative and the inflammatory component from the outset. The noted interaction of the two processes suggests that application of agents with double effect may be therapeutically rewarding. Conducting small but intense bench-to-bedside studies like the one performed with Alemtuzumab [51**] is the way to proceed. The latter study has taught us that suppression of endomyosial inflammation may have an effect on some degeneration-associated molecules with resulting short-term clinical stability. This study is important because it suggests that new anti-lymphocyte therapies, if proven safe for long-term therapy, may have an effect not only on inflammatory mediators but also in halting degeneration. It is a new way of thinking with implications beyond inflammatory myopathies. As the industry is generating new such agents, we should take advantage if there are no long-term safety concerns. The same applies to agents suppressing the ‘degenerative’ molecules. In Alzheimer’s disease, where these same molecules are abundant, no therapy has been effective. In support of the neuroinflammatory concept however, the main drug that shows some promise in Alzheimer’s disease is IVIG [53].
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